

1 Jay J. Schuttert, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 8656)
2 David W. Gutke, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 9820)
EVANS FEARS & SCHUTTERT LLP
6720 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 300
3 Las Vegas, NV 89119
Telephone: (702) 805-0290
4 Facsimile: (702) 805-0291
Email: jschuttert@efstriallaw.com
Email: dgutke@efstriallaw.com

5 Bruce R. Genderson (*pro hac vice*)
6 David M. Krinsky (*pro hac vice*)
7 Adam D. Harber (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
8 725 Twelfth Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
9 Telephone: (202) 434-5000
Facsimile: (202) 434-5029
10 Email: bgenderson@wc.com
Email: dkrinsky@wc.com
Email: aharber@wc.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendants*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINMENT
15 CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 ARUZE GAMING AMERICA, INC., a Nevada
corporation, KAZUO OKADA, an individual,

19 Defendants.

20 ARUZE GAMING AMERICA, INC., a Nevada
corporation, KAZUO OKADA, an individual,

21 Counter-Claimants,

22 vs.

23 UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINMENT
24 CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation, ARUZE
USA, a Nevada corporation, and JUN FUJIMOTO,
an individual,

25 Counter-Defendants.

26 David S. Krakoff (*pro hac vice*)
Lauren R. Randell (*pro hac vice*)
Adam Miller (*pro hac vice*)
Preston Burton (*pro hac vice*)
Bradley Marcus (*pro hac vice*)
BUCKLEY LLP
27 2001 M Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 349-8000
Facsimile: (202) 349-8080
Email: dkraffoff@buckleyfirm.com
Email: lrandell@buckleyfirm.com
Email: amiller@buckleyfirm.com
Email: pburton@buckleyfirm.com
Email: bmarcus@buckleyfirm.com

28 **Case No.: 2:18-CV-00585 (RFB)(NJK)**

**ORDER TO
EXTEND TIME RE: BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOR SECOND
RENEWED MOTION TO COMPEL
DOCUMENTS CONCERNING
CITY-YUWA PARTNERS LAW
FIRM'S INVESTIGATION OF MR.
OKADA AND REQUEST FOR IN
CAMERA REVIEW**

**(FIRST REQUEST RE:
OPPOSITION AND REPLY)**

**(SECOND REQUEST RE:
RESPONSE TO ACCOMPANYING
MOTION TO SEAL)**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that the time
 2 for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendants Universal Entertainment Corporation (“UEC”) to file its Opposition
 3 to Defendants/Counter-Claimants Aruze Gaming America, Inc.’s (“AGA”) and Kazuo Okada’s (“Mr.
 4 Okada”) (collectively “Defendants”) Second Renewed Motion to Compel Documents Concerning
 5 City-Yuwa Partners Law Firm’s Investigation of Mr. Okada and Request for In Camera Review
 6 (“Second Renewed Motion to Compel,” filed under seal on January 13, 2023 – ECF No. 696) is
 7 extended seven (7) days, from January 27, 2023 to February 3, 2023. Likewise, the time for
 8 Defendants to submit their Reply is extended seven (7) days, from February 10, 2023 to February 17,
 9 2023. This is the first stipulation for extension of time for both the Opposition and Reply to the
 10 Second Renewed Motion to Compel.

11 In addition, the deadline to respond¹ to the accompanying motion to seal the Second Renewed
 12 Motion to Compel (ECF No. 695, filed January 13, 2023) is extended seven (7) days from January
 13 27, 2023 to February 3, 2023. This is the second request to extend the deadline for the response to
 14 the motion to seal. The Court previously approved the parties’ first request to extend on January 23,
 15 2023 (ECF No. 709).²

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 ¹ Pursuant to the Court’s June 1, 2020 Order, within seven days of filing a motion to seal the
 22 opposing party must file “either (1) a declaration establishing sufficient justification for sealing each
 23 document at issue or (2) a notice of withdrawal of the designation(s) and consent to unsealing.”
 ECF No. 203, at 2.

24 ² The parties also acknowledge the Court’s January 20, 2023 Order regarding extending the notice
 25 to the motion to seal at issue therein. *See* ECF No. 707. In particular, the Court stated “NO
 26 FURTHER EXTENSIONS WILL BE GIVEN TO FILE REQUIRED NOTICES. FAILURE TO
 27 FILE THE REQUIRED NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO ANY FUTURE MOTIONS TO SEAL MAY
 RESULT IN THE SUBJECT DOCUMENTS BEING UNSEALED.” *Id.* at 1:20-22. The parties
 interpret this language as applicable to the circumstance where a party fails to file the required
 notice to a motion to seal, as opposed to the current request where the parties are preemptively
 seeking an extension of the required notice deadline for good cause.

These extension requests are necessary to provide counsel additional time to evaluate the allegations associated with the Second Renewed Motion to Compel and accompanying motion to seal, to provide additional time to prepare and coordinate their filings with Japanese-based clients, and to accommodate counsel's schedules. Accordingly, for good cause showing, the parties have agreed to the foregoing extensions.

NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS WILL BE GRANTED.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

~~UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE~~

Dated: January 27, 2023